

# Tutorial 4 : Selected problems of Assignment 4.5

Leon Li

10/10/2018



## Announcements

(1) HW1 - HW4 are marked and are ready to pick up.

(2) Extra office hour for Midterm: 18 Oct (Thurs) 14:00-17:00

## Hölder's and Minkowski's inequalities

(I) Euclidean space version:  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $\forall p \geq 1$ , define  $p$ -norm

$$\|\cdot\|_p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ by } \alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \|\alpha\|_p := \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

(a) Hölder's inequality:  $\forall p, q > 1$  w/  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\forall a, b \in X$ ,

$$\| \underbrace{a \cdot b}_{(a_1 b_1, \dots, a_n b_n)} \|_1 \leq \|a\|_p \|b\|_q$$

(b) Minkowski's inequality:  $\forall p \geq 1$ ,  $\forall a, b \in X$ ,

$$\|a+b\|_p \leq \|a\|_p + \|b\|_p$$

(II) Function space version:  $X = \mathbb{R}[a, b]$ ,  $\forall p \geq 1$ ,  
(say real-valued)

define " $p$ -norm"  $\|\cdot\|_p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f \mapsto \|f\|_p := \left( \int_a^b |f|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

(a) Hölder's inequality:  $\forall p, q > 1$  w/  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\forall f, g \in X$ ,

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$$

(b) Minkowski's inequality:  $\forall p \geq 1$ ,  $\forall f, g \in X$ ,

$$\|f+g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$$

Rmk: Both versions are special cases of inequalities for "measure spaces".

Q1) (Ex.4, Q8)

(a)  $\forall 1 \leq p < +\infty$ , define  $\ell^p := \{ (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \mid a_n \in \mathbb{R}; \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p < +\infty \}$

and define  $p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_p: \ell^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{matrix} \cup \\ a = (a_n) \end{matrix} \mapsto \|a\|_p := \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Show that  $(\ell^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is a normed space.

(b)  $p = +\infty$ : define  $\ell^{\infty} := \{ (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \mid a_n \in \mathbb{R}; \sup_n |a_n| < +\infty \}$

and define sup-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}: \ell^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{matrix} \cup \\ a = (a_n) \end{matrix} \mapsto \|a\|_{\infty} := \sup_n |a_n|$$

Show that  $(\ell^{\infty}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is a normed space.

Sol'n: (a) Check the axioms [N1]-[N3] for normed spaces:

$$[N1]: \forall a \in \ell^p, \|a\|_p = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq 0, \text{ which}$$

$$(\|a\|_p = 0) \text{ holds} \Leftrightarrow \forall n, |a_n| = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0$$

$$[N2]: \forall a \in \ell^{\infty}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \|\alpha a\|_p = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha|^p |a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= |\alpha| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |\alpha| \|a\|_p$$

[N3]:  $\forall a, b \in \ell^p, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ , write  $a^{(N)} = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$ ;  $b^{(N)} = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n + b_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \|a^{(N)} + b^{(N)}\|_p \leq \|a^{(N)}\|_p + \|b^{(N)}\|_p \quad (\text{by (Ib)}) \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |b_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|a\|_p + \|b\|_p \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  Take  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ :  $\|a+b\|_p \leq \|a\|_p + \|b\|_p$

$\therefore (\ell^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is a normed space.

(b) [N1]:  $\forall a \in \ell^\infty, \|a\|_\infty = \sup_n |a_n| \geq 0$ , which

$(=0)$  holds  $\Leftrightarrow \forall n, |a_n| = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0$

[N2]:  $\forall a \in \ell^\infty, \forall d \in \mathbb{R}, \|da\|_\infty = \sup_n |da_n| = |d| \sup_n |a_n| = |d| \|a\|_\infty$

[N3]:  $\forall a, b \in \ell^\infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |a_n + b_n| \leq |a_n| + |b_n| \leq \sup_n |a_n| + \sup_n |b_n| = \|a\|_\infty + \|b\|_\infty$

$\therefore \|a+b\|_\infty = \sup_n |a_n + b_n| \leq \|a\|_\infty + \|b\|_\infty$

$\therefore (\ell^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is a normed space.

Q2) (Ex. 4, Q6)

Under same notations as in Q1, show that for  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $(\ell^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is NOT a normed space.

Sol: Showing [N3] is false: Choose  $a = (1, 0, \dots)$ ;  $b = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$ ,

$$\text{then } \|a\|_p = 1 = \|b\|_p; \|a+b\|_p = (1^p + 1^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 2^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\therefore 0 < p < 1 \Rightarrow \|a+b\|_p = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} > 2 = \|a\|_p + \|b\|_p$$

$\therefore$  [N3] is false, hence  $(\ell^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is NOT a normed space.

Rmk: Exactly the same argument shows that  $\forall n \geq 2, \forall 0 < p < 1$ ,

$(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is NOT a normed space.

Q3) (Ex. 5, Q2)

Let  $X = C[a, b]$  be the space of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$ .

$\forall p \geq 1$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_p : C[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as in (II)

(Exercise:  $(X, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is a normed space)

and  $d_p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the induced metric.

Show that  $\forall p > 1$ ,  $d_p$  is stronger but inequivalent to  $d_1$ .

Sol: (1)  $d_p$  is stronger than  $d_1$ :  $\forall f, g \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(f, g) &= \|f - g\|_1 = \|(f - g) \cdot 1\|_1 \leq \|f - g\|_p \cdot \|1\|_q \quad (\text{by IIa}) \\ &= C d_p(f, g), \text{ where } C = \|1\|_q = (b - a)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $d_p$  is inequivalent to  $d_1$ : Suppose on the contrary they are equivalent, then

$$\exists C \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \forall (f_n) \in X, \forall n, C d_p(f_n, 0) \leq d_1(f_n, 0) \leq C d_p(f_n, 0)$$

$$\text{i.e. } C \|f_n\|_p \leq \|f_n\|_1 \leq C \|f_n\|_p.$$

However, we will construct  $(f_n)$  s.t.  $\|f_n\|_p \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\|f_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$

which is a contradiction, so  $d_p$  is inequivalent to  $d_1$ .

Constructing  $(f_n)$ : (for simplicity assume  $[a,b] = [0,1]$ )

Fix  $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha < 1$ , define  $f_n: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} -n^{\alpha}x + n^{\alpha}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{n}] \\ 0, & x \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1] \end{cases} \quad \left( \text{Picture: } \begin{array}{c} \text{A graph of } f_n \text{ on } [0,1]. \text{ The function is a line from } (0, n^{\alpha}) \text{ to } (\frac{1}{n}, 0) \text{ and then zero.} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \|f_n\|_1 &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} (-n^{\alpha}x + n^{\alpha}) dx = n^{\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} (1-nx) dx = n^{\alpha} \left[ -\frac{(1-nx)^2}{2n} \right]_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= n^{\alpha} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2}n \right) = \frac{1}{2}n^{\alpha-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\because \alpha < 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{but } (\|f_n\|_p)^p &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} (-n^{\alpha}x + n^{\alpha})^p dx = n^{\alpha p} \left[ -\frac{(1-nx)^{p+1}}{(p+1)n} \right]_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= n^{\alpha p} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{(p+1)n} \right) = \frac{1}{p+1} n^{\alpha p-1} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\because \alpha > \frac{1}{p}) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \|f_n\|_p \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Hence  $(f_n)$  is the desired counterexample.